DC IOLTA PROGRAM

RELEVANT PORTIONS OF
THE RULES OF GOVERNING THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR

From Rule X. Rules of Professional Conduct
Rule 1.15—Client-Lawyer Relationship/Safekeeping Property

(a) A lawyer shall hold property of clients or third persons that is in the lawyer’s possession in connection with a representation separate from the lawyer’s own property. Funds of clients or third persons that are in the lawyer’s possession (trust funds) shall be kept in one or more trust accounts maintained in accordance with paragraph (b). Other property shall be identified as such and appropriately safeguarded. Complete records of such account funds and other property shall be kept by the lawyer and shall be preserved for a period of five years after termination of the representation.

(b) All trust funds shall be deposited with an “approved depository” as that term is defined in Rule XI of the Rules Governing the District of Columbia Bar. Trust funds that are nominal in amount or expected to be held for a short period of time, and as such would not be expected to earn income for a client or third-party in excess of the costs incurred to secure such income, shall be held at an approved depository and in compliance with the District of Columbia’s Interest on Lawyers Trust Account (DC IOLTA) program. The title on each DC IOLTA account shall include the name of the lawyer or law firm that controls the account, as well as “DC IOLTA Account” or “IOLTA Account.” The title on all other trust accounts shall include the name of the lawyer or law firm that controls the account, as well as “Trust Account” or “Escrow Account.” The requirements of this paragraph (b) shall not apply when a lawyer is otherwise compliant with the contrary mandates of a tribunal; or when the lawyer is participating in, and compliant with, the trust accounting rules and the IOLTA program of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is licensed and principally practices.

(c) Upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, a lawyer shall promptly notify the client or third person. Except as stated in this rule or otherwise permitted by law or by agreement with the client, a lawyer shall promptly deliver to the client or third person any funds or other property that the client or third person is entitled to receive and, upon request by the client or third person, shall promptly render a full accounting regarding such property, subject to Rule 1.6.

(d) When in the course of representation a lawyer is in possession of property in which interests are claimed by the lawyer and another person, or by two or more persons to each of whom the lawyer may have an obligation, the property shall be kept separate by the lawyer until there is an accounting and severance of interests in the property. If a dispute arises concerning the respective interests among persons claiming an interest in such property, the undisputed portion shall be distributed and the portion in dispute shall be kept separate by the lawyer until the dispute is resolved. Any funds in dispute shall be deposited in a separate account meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) and (b).
(e) Advances of unearned fees and unincurred costs shall be treated as property of the client pursuant to paragraph (a) until earned or incurred unless the client gives informed consent to a different arrangement. Regardless of whether such consent is provided, Rule 1.16(d) applies to require the return to the client of any unearned portion of advanced legal fees and unincurred costs at the termination of the lawyer’s services in accordance with Rule 1.16(d).

(f) Nothing in this rule shall prohibit a lawyer from placing a small amount of the lawyer’s funds into a trust account for the sole purpose of defraying bank charges that may be made against that account.

Comment

[1] A lawyer should hold property of others with the care required of a professional fiduciary. Securities should be kept in a safe deposit box, except when some other form of safekeeping is warranted by special circumstances. All property that is the property of clients or third persons should be kept separate from the lawyer’s business and personal property and, if monies, in one or more trust accounts maintained with financial institutions meeting the requirements of this rule. This rule, among other things, sets forth the longstanding prohibitions of the misappropriation of entrusted funds and the commingling of entrusted funds with the lawyer’s property. This rule also requires that a lawyer safeguard “other property” of clients, which may include client files. For guidance concerning the disposition of closed client files, see D.C. Bar Legal Ethics Committee Opinion No. 283.

[2] Paragraph (a) of Rule 1.15 requires lawyers to keep "[c]omplete records of [client] funds and property. . . ." The D.C. Court of Appeals addressed the meaning of "complete records" in In re Clower, 831 A.2d 1030, 1034 (D.C. 2003): "’The Rules of Professional Conduct should be interpreted with reference to their purposes. The purpose of maintaining 'complete records' is so that the documentary record itself tells the full story of how the attorney handled client or third-party funds and whether the attorney complied with his fiduciary obligation that client or third-party funds not be misappropriated or commingled. Financial records are complete only when documents sufficient to demonstrate an attorney’s compliance with his ethical duties are maintained. The reason for requiring complete records is so that any audit of the attorney’s handling of client funds by Bar Counsel can be completed even if the attorney or the client, or both, are not available.’” Rule 1.15 requires that lawyers maintain records such that ownership or any other question about client funds can be answered without assistance from the lawyer or the lawyer’s clients. The precise records that achieve this result obviously can vary, but lawyers may wish to look for guidance on records from the 2010 ABA Model Rules For Client Trust Account Records.

[3] Paragraph (a) concerns trust funds arising from “a representation.” The obligations of a lawyer under this rule are independent of those arising from activity other than rendering legal services. For example, a lawyer who serves as an escrow agent is governed by the applicable law relating to fiduciaries even though the lawyer does not render legal services in the transaction. Separate trust accounts may be warranted when administering estate monies or acting in similar fiduciary capacities.

[4] Paragraph (b) mandates where trust deposits shall be held and further mandates participation in the District of Columbia’s IOLTA program. This paragraph is intended to reach every lawyer who is admitted in this jurisdiction regardless of where the lawyer practices, unless a stated
exception applies. Thus, a lawyer should follow the contrary mandates of a tribunal regarding deposits that are subject to that tribunal’s oversight. Similarly, if the lawyer principally practices in a foreign jurisdiction in which the lawyer is also licensed, and the lawyer maintains trust accounts compliant with that foreign jurisdiction’s trust accounting rules, the lawyer may deposit trust funds to an approved depository or to a banking institution acceptable to that foreign jurisdiction. Finally, a lawyer is not obligated to participate in the District of Columbia IOLTA program if the lawyer is participating in, and compliant with, the IOLTA program in the jurisdiction in which the lawyer is licensed and principally practices. IOLTA programs are known by different names or acronyms in some jurisdictions; this rule and its exceptions apply to all such programs, however named. This rule anticipates that a law firm with lawyers admitted to practice in the District of Columbia may be obligated to maintain accounts compliant with the IOLTA rules of other jurisdictions where firm lawyers principally practice. A lawyer who is not participating in the IOLTA program of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer principally practices because the lawyer has exercised a right to opt out of, or not to opt into, the jurisdiction’s IOLTA program, or because the jurisdiction does not have an IOLTA program, shall not thereby be excused from participating in the District of Columbia’s IOLTA program. To the extent paragraph (b) does not resolve a multi-jurisdictional conflict, see Rule 8.5. Nothing in this rule is intended to limit the power of any tribunal to direct a lawyer in connection with a pending matter, including a lawyer who is admitted pro hac vice, to hold trust funds as may be directed by that tribunal. For a list of approved depositories and additional information regarding DC IOLTA program compliance, see Rule XI, Section 20, of the Rules Governing the District of Columbia Bar, and the D.C. Bar Foundation’s website www.dcbarfoundation.org.

[5] The exception to Rule 1.15(b) requires a lawyer to make a good faith determination of the jurisdiction in which the lawyer principally practices. The phrase “principally practices” refers to the conduct of an individual lawyer, not to the principal place of practice of his or her law firm (which might yield a different result for a lawyer with partners). For purposes of this rule, an individual lawyer principally practices in the jurisdiction where the lawyer is licensed and generates the clear majority of his or her income. If there is no such jurisdiction, then a lawyer should identify the physical location of the office where the lawyer devotes the largest portion of his or her time. In any event, the initial good faith determination of where the lawyer principally practices should be changed only if the lawyer’s circumstances change significantly and the change is expected to continue indefinitely.

[6] The determination, under paragraph (b), whether trust funds are not expected to earn income in excess of costs, rests in the sound judgment of the lawyer. The lawyer should review trust practices at reasonable intervals to determine whether circumstances require further action with respect to the funds of any client or third party. Because paragraph (b) is a lawyer-specific obligation, this rule anticipates that a law firm may be obligated to maintain accounts compliant with the IOLTA rules of other jurisdictions, to the extent the lawyers in that firm do not all principally practice in the District of Columbia.

[7] Paragraphs (c) and (d) recognize that lawyers often receive funds from third parties from which the lawyer’s fee will be paid. The lawyer is not required to remit to the client funds that the lawyer reasonably believes represent fees owed. However, a lawyer may not hold funds to coerce a client into accepting the lawyer’s contention. The disputed portion of the funds should
be kept in trust and the lawyer should suggest means for prompt resolution of the dispute, such as arbitration. The undisputed portion of the funds should be promptly distributed.

[8] Third parties, such as a client’s creditors, may have just claims against funds or other property in a lawyer’s custody. A lawyer may have a duty under applicable law to protect such third-party claims against wrongful interference by the client, and accordingly may refuse to surrender the property to the client. However, a lawyer should not unilaterally assume to arbitrate a dispute between the client and the third party. See D.C. Bar Legal Ethics Committee Opinion 293.

[9] Paragraph (e) permits advances against unearned fees and unincurred costs to be treated as either the property of the client or the property of the lawyer, but absent informed consent by the client to a different arrangement, the rule’s default position is that such advances be treated as the property of the client, subject to the restrictions provided in paragraph (a). In any case, at the termination of an engagement, advances against fees that have not been incurred must be returned to the client as provided in Rule 1.16(d). For the definition of “informed consent,” see Rule 1.0(e).

[10] With respect to property that constitutes evidence, such as the instruments or proceeds of crime, see Rule 3.4(a).

Rule XI of the Rules Governing the District of Columbia Bar

Disciplinary Proceedings

[excerpt]

Section 20. Approved Depositories for Lawyers’ Trust Accounts and District of Columbia Interest on Lawyers’ Trust Accounts Program

(a) To be listed as an approved depository for lawyers’ trust accounts, a financial institution shall file an undertaking with the Board on Professional Responsibility (BPR), on a form to be provided by the board’s office, agreeing (1) promptly to report to the Office of Bar Counsel each instance in which an instrument that would properly be payable if sufficient funds were available has been presented against a lawyer’s or law firm’s specially designated account at such institution at a time when such account contained insufficient funds to pay such instrument, whether or not the instrument was honored and irrespective of any overdraft privileges that may attach to such account; and (2) for financial institutions that elect to offer and maintain District of Columbia IOLTA (DC IOLTA) accounts, to fulfill the requirements of subsections (f) and (g) below. In addition to undertaking to make the above-specified reports and, for financial institutions that elect to offer and maintain DC IOLTA accounts, to fulfill the requirements of
subsections (f) and (g) below, approved depositories, wherever they are located, shall also undertake to respond promptly and fully to subpoenas from the Office of Bar Counsel that seek a lawyer's or law firm's specially designated account records, notwithstanding any objections that might be raised based upon the territorial limits on the effectiveness of such subpoenas or upon the jurisdiction of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals to enforce them.

Such undertakings shall apply to all branches of the financial institution and shall not be canceled by the institution except upon thirty (30) days written notice to the Office of Bar Counsel. The failure of an approved depository to comply with any of its undertakings hereunder shall be grounds for immediate removal of such institution from the list of BPR-approved depositories.

(b) Reports to Bar Counsel by approved depositories pursuant to paragraph (a) above shall contain the following information:

(1) In the case of a dishonored instrument, the report shall be identical to the over-draft notice customarily forwarded to the institution's other regular account holders.

(2) In the case of an instrument that was presented against insufficient funds but was honored, the report shall identify the depository, the lawyer or law firm maintaining the account, the account number, the date of presentation for payment and the payment date of the instrument, as well as the amount of overdraft created thereby.

The report to the Office of Bar Counsel shall be made simultaneously with, and within the time period, if any, provided by law for notice of dishonor. If an instrument presented against insufficient funds was honored, the institution's report shall be mailed to Bar Counsel within five (5) business days of payment of the instrument.

(c) The establishment of a specially designated account at an approved depository shall be conclusively deemed to be consent by the lawyer or law firm maintaining such account to that institution's furnishing to the Office of Bar Counsel all reports and information required hereunder. No approved depository shall incur any liability by virtue of its compliance with the requirements of this rule, except as might otherwise arise from bad faith, intentional misconduct, or any other acts by the approved depository or its employees which, unrelated to this rule, would create liability.

(d) The designation of a financial institution as an approved depository pursuant to this rule shall not be deemed to be a warranty, representation, or guaranty by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, the District of Columbia Bar, the District of Columbia Board on Professional Responsibility, the Office of Bar Counsel, or the District of Columbia Bar Foundation as to the financial soundness, business practices, or other attributes of such institution. Approval of an institution under this rule means only that the institution has undertaken to meet the reporting and other requirements enumerated in paragraph (a) and (b) above.

(e) Nothing in this rule shall preclude a financial institution from charging a lawyer or law firm for the reasonable cost of producing the reports and records required by this rule.
(f) Participation by financial institutions in the DC IOLTA program is voluntary. A financial institution that elects to offer and maintain DC IOLTA accounts shall fulfill the following requirements:

(1) The institution shall pay no less on its DC IOLTA accounts than the interest rate or dividend rate in (A) or (B):

(A) The highest interest rate or dividend rate generally available from the institution to its non-IOLTA customers when the DC IOLTA account meets or exceeds the same minimum balance or other eligibility qualifications on its non-IOLTA accounts, if any. In determining the highest interest rate or dividend rate generally available from the institution to its non-IOLTA customers, an institution may consider in addition to the balance in the DC IOLTA account, factors customarily considered by the institution when setting interest rates or dividend rates for its non-IOLTA customers, provided that such factors do not discriminate between DC IOLTA accounts and non-IOLTA accounts and that these factors do not include the fact that the account is a DC IOLTA account.

(i) An institution may offer, and the lawyer or law firm may request, an account that provides a mechanism for the overnight investment of balances in the DC IOLTA account in an interest- or dividend-bearing account that is a daily (overnight) financial institution repurchase agreement or an open-end money-market fund.

(ii) An institution may choose to pay the higher interest rate or dividend rate on a DC IOLTA account in lieu of establishing it as a higher rate product.

(B) A "benchmark" rate set periodically by the Foundation that reflects the Foundation’s estimate of an overall comparability rate for accounts in the DC IOLTA program and that is net of allowable reasonable fees. When applicable, the Foundation will express the benchmark rate in relation to the Federal Funds Target Rate.

(2) Nothing in this Rule shall preclude a financial institution from paying a higher interest rate or dividend on a DC IOLTA account than described in subparagraph (f)(1) above.

(3) Allowable reasonable fees are the only fees and service charges that may be deducted by a financial institution from interest or dividends earned on a DC IOLTA account. Allowable reasonable fees may be deducted from interest or dividends on a DC IOLTA account only at the rates and in accordance with the customary practices of the financial institution for non-IOLTA customers. No fees or service charges other than allowable reasonable fees may be assessed against the accrued interest or dividends on a DC IOLTA account. Any fees and service charges other than allowable reasonable fees shall be the sole responsibility of, and may only be
charged to, the lawyer or law firm maintaining the DC IOLTA account. Allowable reasonable fees in excess of the interest or dividends earned on one DC IOLTA account for any period shall not be taken from interest or dividends earned on any other DC IOLTA account or accounts or from the principal of any DC IOLTA account. Nothing in this rule shall preclude a financial institution from electing to waive any fees and service charges on a DC IOLTA account.

(g) On forms approved by the Foundation, a financial institution that maintains DC IOLTA accounts shall:

(1) Remit all interest or dividends, net of allowable reasonable fees, if any, on the average monthly balance in each DC IOLTA account, or as otherwise computed in accordance with the institution's standard accounting practice, at least quarterly, to the Foundation. The institution may remit the interest or dividends on all of its DC IOLTA accounts in a lump sum; however, the institution shall provide, for each individual DC IOLTA account, to the Foundation the information described in subparagraph (g)(2), and to the lawyer or law firm the information in subparagraph (g)(3).

(2) Transmit with each remittance to the Foundation a report showing the following information for each DC IOLTA account: the name of the lawyer or law firm in whose name the account is registered, the amount of interest or dividends earned, the rate and type of interest or dividend applied, the amount of any allowable reasonable fees assessed during the remittance period, the net amount of interest or dividends remitted for the period, the average account balance for the remittance period, and such other information as is reasonably required by the Foundation.

(3) Transmit to the lawyer or law firm in whose name the account is registered a periodic account statement in accordance with normal procedures for reporting to depositors.

(h) The Foundation shall maintain records of each remittance and statement received from a financial institution for a period of at least three years and shall, upon request, promptly make available to a lawyer or law firm the records or statements pertaining to that lawyer's or law firm’s DC IOLTA accounts.

(i) All interest and dividends transmitted to the Foundation shall, after deduction for the necessary and reasonable administrative expenses of the Foundation for operation of the DC IOLTA program, be distributed by the Foundation for the following purposes:

(1) at least eighty–five percent for the support of legal assistance programs providing legal and related assistance to poor persons in the District of Columbia who would otherwise be unable to obtain legal assistance; and

(2) up to fifteen percent for those programs to improve the administration of justice in the District of Columbia as are specifically approved from time to time by this court.

(j) Definitions. As used in this rule, the terms below shall have the following meanings:
(1) "Allowable reasonable fees" for DC IOLTA accounts are per check charges, per deposit charges, a fee in lieu of a minimum balance, federal deposit insurance fees, sweep fees, and a reasonable DC IOLTA account administrative or maintenance fee.

(2) "Foundation" means the District of Columbia Bar Foundation, Inc.

(3) "Interest- or dividend-bearing account" means (i) an interest-bearing account, or (ii) an investment product which is a daily (overnight) financial institution repurchase agreement or an open-end money market fund. A daily (overnight) financial institution repurchase agreement must be fully collateralized by U.S. Government Securities and may be established only with an eligible institution that is "wellcapitalized" or "adequately capitalized" as those terms are defined by applicable federal statutes and regulations. An open-end money-market fund must be invested solely in U.S. Government Securities or repurchase agreements fully collateralized by U.S. Government Securities, must hold itself out as a "money-market fund" as that term is defined by federal statutes and regulations under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and, at the time of the investment, must have total assets of at least $250,000,000.

(4) "DC IOLTA account" means an interest- or dividend-bearing account established by a lawyer or law firm for IOLTA-eligible funds at a financial institution from which funds may be withdrawn upon request by the depositor as soon as permitted by law.

(5) “IOLTA-eligible funds" means those funds from a client or third-party that are nominal in amount or are expected to be held for a short period of time, and that cannot earn income for the client or third party in excess of the costs incurred to secure such income.

(6) "Law Firm" - Includes a partnership of lawyers, a professional or non-profit corporation of lawyers, and combination thereof engaged in the practice of law.

(7) "Financial Institution" - Includes banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, savings banks and any other business that accepts for deposit funds held in trust by lawyers or law firms which is authorized by federal, District of Columbia, or state law to do business in the District of Columbia or the state in which the financial institution is situated and that maintains accounts which are insured by an agency or instrumentality of the United States.

Rule XI of the DC Court of Appeals Rules Governing the Bar is available in full on the DC Bar’s website: www.dcbar.org Follow this link: http://www.dcbar.org/for_lawyers/ethics/discipline/index.cfm